

Entanglement witnesses and concurrence for multi-qubit states

Hoshang Heydari

*Institute of Quantum Science, Nihon University,
1-8 Kanda-Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan
Email: hoshang@edu.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We establish a relation between concurrence and entanglement witnesses. In particular, we construct entanglement witnesses for three-qubit W and GHZ states in terms of concurrence and different set of operators that generate it. We also generalize our construction for multi-qubit states.

1 Introduction

Entanglement witnesses are a practical way to detect entangled states [1]. One recent example of detecting four-photon entangled state by entanglement witnesses has been reported in [2]. Entanglement witnesses has a rich geometrical structure and their construction is a consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

On other hand, concurrence is one of well-known measure of entanglement. One can also find different construction and definition of concurrence for both pure and mixed bipartite and multipartite states [3, 4]. Recently, we have also constructed generalized concurrence for pure general multipartite states based on the complement of a positive operator valued measure (POVM) on quantum phase [5]. In particular, by rewriting orthogonal complement of a POVM on quantum phase as sums and taking the expectation value of each of these operators, we were able to construct a general formula for concurrence. In this paper, we will establish a connection between entanglement witnesses and concurrence. In section 2 we will give short introduction to construction of entanglement witnesses with some example for three-qubit W and GHZ state. In section 3 we will introduce our construction of concurrence based on the complement of POVM on quantum phase. And finally, in section 4 we will show how we can construct entanglement witnesses for three-qubit states in terms of concurrence and it's generating operators. Our construction suggests a systematic way of constructing entanglement witnesses for multi-qubit states.

We will consider a general multipartite quantum system with m subsystems which we denote as $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \cdots \mathcal{Q}_m$, and denoting its general state as $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{l_1=1}^{N_1} \cdots \sum_{l_m=1}^{N_m} \alpha_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m} |l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}$, where the dimension of the j th Hilbert space is given by $N_j = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_j})$. Moreover, let $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}} = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i |\Psi_i\rangle\langle\Psi_i|$, for all $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N p_i = 1$, denote a density

operator acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_Q$. The most well know examples of multi-qubit states are $|\Psi_{GHZ^m}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{W^m}\rangle$ state. These quantum states are defined by $|\Psi_{GHZ^m}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1, \dots, 1\rangle + |2, \dots, 2\rangle)$ and $|\Psi_{W^m}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}(|m-1, 2\rangle)$, where $|m-1, 2\rangle$ denotes the totally symmetric state including $m-1$ ones and 1 twos. In the following section, we will call our local operators based on these classes of states.

2 Entanglement witnesses

In this section we will give a short introduction to entanglement witnesses for general multipartite state. Let ρ_Q be a density operator acting on $\mathcal{H}_Q$. Then, the density operator ρ_Q is said to be fully separable, which we will denote by ρ_Q^{sep} , with respect to the Hilbert space decomposition, if it can be written as $\rho_Q^{sep} = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k \bigotimes_{j=1}^m \rho_{Q_j}^k$, $\sum_{k=1}^N p_k = 1$ for some positive integer N , where p_k are positive real numbers and $\rho_{Q_j}^k$ denotes a density operator on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{Q_j}$. If ρ_Q^p represents a pure state, then the quantum system is fully separable if ρ_Q^p can be written as $\rho_Q^{sep} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^m \rho_{Q_j}$, where ρ_{Q_j} is the density operator on $\mathcal{H}_{Q_j}$. If a state is not separable, then it is said to be an entangled state.

Now, for every entangled state ρ_Q there exist a Hermitian operator $\mathcal{W}$ that satisfies the following conditions: i) $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{W}\rho_Q) < 0$, and ii) $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{W}\rho_Q^{sep}) \geq 0$, for all separable state ρ_Q^{sep} . The $\mathcal{W}$ operator usually called entanglement witnesses. By construction this operator have a positive expectation value on the set of all separable states. Thus, if the measurement of $\mathcal{W}$ on a quantum system represented by ρ_Q produce negative value, then this quantum ρ_Q is an entangled state. Moreover, the existence of this operator is guaranteed by the Hahn-Banach theorem: For a compact and convex set $\mathbb{S}$, if ρ_Q is not belong to $\mathbb{S}$, then there exists a hyper-plane that separate ρ_Q from $\mathbb{S}$. Next, we will construct two well known entanglement witnesses for GHZ and W class states. For three-qubit GHZ and W states entanglement witnesses can be constructed as

$$\mathcal{W}_{GHZ^3} = \frac{3}{4}\mathbb{I} - |\Psi_{GHZ^3}\rangle\langle\Psi_{GHZ^3}|, \quad \mathcal{W}_{W^3} = \frac{2}{3}\mathbb{I} - |\Psi_{W^3}\rangle\langle\Psi_{W^3}|, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is an identity matrix. In following section we will rewrite these entanglement witnesses in terms of concurrence.

3 Different classes of POVM for general multipartite states

In this section, we will construct concurrence for general pure multipartite states $Q^p(N_1, \dots, N_m)$, where superscript p indicates that we are only considering pure multipartite states. In our construction, we will use linear operators that are constructed by the orthogonal complement of POVM on quantum phase [5]. The POVM for each subsystem Q_j is defined by

$$\Delta_{Q_j}(\varphi_{k_j, l_j}) = \sum_{l_j, k_j=1}^{N_j} e^{i\varphi_{k_j, l_j}} |k_j\rangle\langle l_j|, \quad (2)$$

where $\varphi_{k_j, l_j} = -\varphi_{l_j, k_j}(1 - \delta_{k_j l_j})$. Moreover, the orthogonal complement of our POVM is given by $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_j}(\varphi_{k_j, l_j}) = \mathcal{I}_{N_j} - \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_j}(\varphi_{k_j, l_j})$, where $\mathcal{I}_{N_j}$ is the N_j -by- N_j identity matrix for subsystem j . For m -partite quantum system we construct a operator (matrix) by taking the tensor product of m subsystems as follows

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}, \dots, \varphi_{k_m, l_m}) = \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}(\varphi_{k_m, l_m}), \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}, \dots, \varphi_{k_m, l_m})$ has phases that are sums or differences of phases originating from two and m subsystems. That is, in the latter case the phases of $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}, \dots, \varphi_{k_m, l_m})$ take the form $(\varphi_{k_1, l_1} \pm \varphi_{k_2, l_2} \pm \dots \pm \varphi_{k_m, l_m})$ and identification of these joint phases makes our distinguishing possible. Thus, we can define linear operators for the W^m class which are sums and differences of phases of two subsystems, i.e., $(\varphi_{k_{r_1}, l_{r_1}} \pm \varphi_{k_{r_2}, l_{r_2}})$. That is, for the W^m class we have

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_1 r_2}}^{W^m}(\Lambda_m) = \mathcal{I}_{N_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_1}}(\varphi_{k_{r_1}, l_{r_1}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_2}}(\varphi_{k_{r_2}, l_{r_2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{I}_{N_m}, \quad (4)$$

where $1 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq m$ and the notation $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_j}(\varphi_{k_j, l_j}^{\frac{\pi}{2}})$ means that we evaluate $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_j}(\varphi_{k_j, l_j})$ at $\varphi_{k_j, l_j} = \pi/2$ for all k_j, l_j . In order to simplify our presentation, we have used $(\Lambda_m) = (k_1, l_1; \dots; k_m, l_m)$ as an abstract multi-index notation. Next, we could write the linear operator $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_1 r_2}}^{W^m}(\Lambda_m)$ as a direct sum of the upper and lower anti-diagonal

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_1 r_2}}^{W^m}(\Lambda_m) = \mathcal{U} \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_1 r_2}}^{W^m}(\Lambda_m) + \mathcal{L} \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_1 r_2}}^{W^m}(\Lambda_m). \quad (5)$$

For the GHZ^m class, we define linear operators based on our POVM which are sums and differences of phases of m -subsystems, i.e., $(\varphi_{k_{r_1}, l_{r_1}} \pm \varphi_{k_{r_2}, l_{r_2}} \pm \dots \pm \varphi_{k_m, l_m})$. That is, for the GHZ^m class we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_1 r_2}}^{\text{GHZ}^m}(\Lambda_m) &= \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}^{\pi}) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_1}}(\varphi_{k_{r_1}, l_{r_1}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}) \\ &\otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r_2}}(\varphi_{k_{r_2}, l_{r_2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}(\varphi_{k_m, l_m}^{\pi}), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_j}(\varphi_{k_j, l_j}^{\pi})$ indicates that we evaluate $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_j}(\varphi_{k_j, l_j})$ at $\varphi_{k_j, l_j} = \pi$ for all k_j, l_j . Note also that, in this case we get an operator which has the structure of the Pauli operator σ_x embedded in a higher-dimensional Hilbert space and coincides with σ_x for a single-qubit. There are $\frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ linear operators for the GHZ^m class. In our recent paper [5] we have construct concurrence for general multipartite states based on these sets of operators which is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(|\Psi\rangle) = (\mathcal{N}_m \{ \sum_{1 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq m} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q}_{r_1 r_2}^{W^m}) + \sum_{1 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq m} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q}_{r_1 r_2}^{\text{GHZ}^m}) + \dots \})^{1/2},$$

where $\mathcal{N}_m$ is a normalization constant. The definition of these terms can be found in the above mentioned paper. For three-qubit state it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(|\Psi\rangle) &= (2|\alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{2,2,1} - \alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,1,1}|^2 + 2|\alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{1,2,2}\alpha_{2,1,2}|^2 \\ &+ 2|\alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{2,1,2} - \alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{2,1,1}|^2 + 2|\alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{1,2,2}\alpha_{2,2,1}|^2 \\ &+ 2|\alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{1,2,2} - \alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{1,2,1}|^2 + 2|\alpha_{2,1,1}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{2,1,2}\alpha_{2,2,1}|^2 \\ &+ |\alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{2,2,1}|^2 + |\alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,1,2}|^2 \\ &+ |\alpha_{1,1,1}\alpha_{2,2,2} - \alpha_{1,2,2}\alpha_{2,1,1}|^2 + |\alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{2,2,1} - \alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,1,2}|^2 \\ &+ |\alpha_{1,1,2}\alpha_{2,2,1} - \alpha_{1,2,2}\alpha_{2,1,1}|^2 + |\alpha_{1,2,1}\alpha_{2,1,2} - \alpha_{1,2,2}\alpha_{2,1,1}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have set $\mathcal{N} = 1/4$. Next, we evaluate this measure for $|\Psi_{W^3}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{GHZ^3}\rangle$ states. For these two well-known states we have $\mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi_{W^3}\rangle) = 2 \cdot 3 \frac{1}{9} = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi_{GHZ^3}\rangle) = \frac{3}{4}$ respectively.

4 Entanglement witnesses based on concurrence

Now we will systematically construct entanglement witnesses for multipartite states. But before that we need to introduce some new notations. For m -partite quantum system we will denote by $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\pm}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}, \dots, \varphi_{k_m, l_m})$ the operator which has only phases that either can be written as $(+\varphi_{k_1, l_1} + \varphi_{k_2, l_2} + \dots + \varphi_{k_m, l_m})$ or $(-\varphi_{k_1, l_1} - \varphi_{k_2, l_2} - \dots - \varphi_{k_m, l_m})$. This means the sign in front of all these phases is either positive or negative. The elements of $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{+}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}, \dots, \varphi_{k_m, l_m})$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{-}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}, \dots, \varphi_{k_m, l_m})$ are placed over and under main diagonal respectively. So we can also rewrite our operators as

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\pm}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}, \dots, \varphi_{k_m, l_m}) = \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{+}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}, \dots, \varphi_{k_m, l_m}) + \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{-}(\varphi_{k_1, l_1}, \dots, \varphi_{k_m, l_m}). \quad (7)$$

For example for three-qubit states we have two classes of these operators, that is W class and GHZ class operators. For W class we have

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1,2}}^{W^3 \pm}(\Lambda_3) = \left(\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}(\varphi_{1,2}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}) \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\varphi_{1,2}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}) \otimes \mathcal{I}_2 \right)^{\pm}.$$

$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1,3}}^{W^3 \pm}(\Lambda_3)$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{2,3}}^{W^3 \pm}(\Lambda_3)$ are defined in the similar way. Moreover, for three-qubit GHZ we have

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1,2}}^{GHZ^3 \pm} = \left(\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}(\varphi_{1,2}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}) \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(\varphi_{1,2}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}) \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_3}(\varphi_{1,2}^{\pi}) \right)^{\pm}.$$

Now, we are in a position to rewrite entanglement witnesses for three-qubit states in terms of concurrence and it's operators. For example for a three-qubit GHZ state the entanglement witnesses can be constructed as

$$\mathcal{W}_{GHZ^3} = \frac{3}{4} \mathbb{I} - |\Psi_{GHZ^3}\rangle \langle \Psi_{GHZ^3}| = \mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi_{GHZ^3}\rangle) \mathbb{I} - |\Psi_{GHZ^3}\rangle \langle \Psi_{GHZ^3}|. \quad (8)$$

We can also go one step further by setting $\mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi_{GHZ^3}\rangle) = \mathcal{C}_g = \overline{\mathcal{C}}_g + 1$, and diagonal matrix $\mathcal{D}_g = \text{diag}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_g, \mathcal{C}_g, \dots, \mathcal{C}_g, \overline{\mathcal{C}}_g)$ then an entanglement witnesses for three-qubit GHZ state can be written as

$$\mathcal{W}_{GHZ^3} = \mathcal{D}_g - \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1,2}}^{GHZ^3 \pm}(\Lambda_3). \quad (9)$$

For three-qubit W state entanglement witnesses can be constructed as

$$\mathcal{W}_{W^3} = \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{I} - |\Psi_{W^3}\rangle \langle \Psi_{W^3}| = \mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi_{W^3}\rangle) \mathbb{I} - |\Psi_{W^3}\rangle \langle \Psi_{W^3}| \quad (10)$$

Now, let $\mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi_{W^3}\rangle) = \mathcal{C}_w = \overline{\mathcal{C}}_w + 1$, and define diagonal matrix $\mathcal{D}_w = \text{diag}(\mathcal{C}_w, \overline{\mathcal{C}}_w, \overline{\mathcal{C}}_w, \mathcal{C}_w, \overline{\mathcal{C}}_w, \mathcal{C}_w, \overline{\mathcal{C}}_w, \mathcal{C}_w)$. Then an entanglement witnesses for three-qubit W state can be written as

$$\mathcal{W}_{W^3} = \mathcal{D}_w - \sum_{r < s} \mathcal{U} \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r,s}}^{W^3 \pm}(\Lambda_3). \quad (11)$$

These construction suggest that we can generalize entanglement witnesses for at least multipartite W and GHZ states. For example the entanglement witnesses for multi-qubit W states is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{W^m} &= \gamma \mathbb{I} - |\Psi_{W^m}\rangle\langle\Psi_{W^m}| = \mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi_{W^m}\rangle)\mathbb{I} - |\Psi_{W^m}\rangle\langle\Psi_{W^m}| \\ &= \mathcal{D}_w - \sum_{r < s} \mathfrak{u} \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r,s}}^{W^m \pm}(\Lambda_m),\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_w$ is a diagonal matrix with elements $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_w = \mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi_{W^m}\rangle) - 1$ for non zero coefficients $\alpha_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m} \neq 0$ of multi-qubit W state and $\mathcal{C}_w = \mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi_{W^m}\rangle)$ when $\alpha_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m} = 0$ for multi-qubit W state. We have already seen construction of this diagonal matrix for three qubit states.

Moreover, an entanglement witnesses for multi-qubit GHZ states is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{GHZ^m} &= \gamma \mathbb{I} - |\Psi_{GHZ^m}\rangle\langle\Psi_{GHZ^m}| = \mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi_{GHZ^m}\rangle)\mathbb{I} - |\Psi_{GHZ^m}\rangle\langle\Psi_{GHZ^m}| \\ &= \mathcal{D}_g - \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1,2}}^{GHZ^m \pm}(\Lambda_m),\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_g$ is defined in the same way as for W state, e.g., by replacing W state by GHZ state. However, we need carefully chose the normalization constant $\mathcal{N}_m$ in the expression for concurrence. We also can write a general formula for entanglement witnesses of pure multi-qubit state in terms of concurrence

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Psi} = \gamma \mathbb{I} - |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| = \mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi\rangle)\mathbb{I} - |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| = \mathcal{D} - \sum_{r < s} \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{Q}_{r,s}}^{\Psi \pm}(\Lambda_m), \quad (14)$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is a diagonal matrix with elements $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi\rangle) - 1$ for non zero coefficients $\alpha_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m} \neq 0$ of the state $|\Psi\rangle$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^2(|\Psi\rangle)$ whenever $\alpha_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m} = 0$ for given state $|\Psi\rangle$. For GHZ states we only need to consider $r = 1$ and $s = 2$ without any partitions. Thus, it is possible to systematically construct entanglement witnesses for multi-qubit states in terms of concurrence and the operators which generate it. The geometrical structure of entanglement witnesses usually related to geometry of Hilbert space in functional analysis. On the other hand the geometry of concurrence and completely separable set is given by the Segre variety which is defined to be the image of the Segre embedding. Thus these connection between entanglement witnesses and concurrence also suggest some, not yet known, similarity between geometrical structure of these entanglement tools that distinguish between separable and entangled set of multi-qubit states.

Acknowledgments: The author acknowledges the financial support of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

References

- [1] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A 283, 1 (2001).
- [2] M. Bourennane, M. Eibl, C. Kurtsiefer, H. Weinfurter, O. Gühne, P. Hyllus, D. Bruß, M. Lewenstein, and A. Sanpera, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** 087902 (2004)
- [3] S. Albeverio and S. M. Fei, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **3**, 223 (2001).
- [4] F. Mintert, M. Kus, and A. Buchleitner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 260502 (2005).
- [5] H. Heydari, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39** (2006) 15225-15229